Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/709,843	BALSDON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Phallaka Kik	2825
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>non-final rejection</u>	
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Melvin D. Chan (Reg. No. 39,626)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 September 2006	Time: <u>6:46PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 32,42		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to the Examiner's indication that claims 32 and 42 have minor informalities problems, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the claims as given in the attached Examiner's amendment		
Part III.	·	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Mallah Kin		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)